

The Sun.

MONDAY, MARCH 14, 1892.

Subscription by Mail—Post-paid.
 DAILY, Per Month, \$1.00
 DAILY, Per Year, \$10.00
 SUNDAY, Per Year, \$3.00
 WEEKLY, Per Year, \$1.00
 Single Copies, 10 Cents
 Foreign Subscriptions, \$1.50 per month, \$15.00 per year, in advance.

Not Wholly a Behring Sea Question.

Lord SALISBURY'S proposal in lieu of a renewal of the *modus vivendi*, pending arbitration of the Behring Sea dispute, is characterized as preposterous by most of our countrymen, and not habitually in sympathy with the efforts of British diplomacy. It is preposterous, but it is very adroit. It serves to raise a new point that diverts attention from the treaty itself, while that document is yet unratified.

Instead of continuing last year's agreement to prevent poaching and the reckless destruction of the seals until the questions involved are settled, Lord SALISBURY proposes a thirty-mile radius of protection around the islands. Outside of that thirty-mile line the slaughter would go on unregulated, and the income of the Government from the seal trade would be cut off. The Canadian fishermen and the sealers would be driven to the mainland, and the seal trade would be a thing of the past.

The right to protect the lives of the seals away from the Pribilof Islands depends on one of two things: First, American jurisdiction over the entire waters of Behring Sea east of the line defined at the time of purchase from Russia; or, secondly, the right and duty to interfere anywhere on the high seas with a pursuit shown to be against public policy, good morals, and the common welfare. The suppression of piracy and the suppression of the slave trade are instances in which this undefined and indelible right have been exercised. Mr. BLAINE has added another striking illustration in his supposed case of the whole-sale destruction with dynamite of the fish colonies on the Newfoundland banks.

The first proposition, namely, that our maritime jurisdiction covers Behring Sea, is strenuously denied by Great Britain. If Russia had the right to convey to us exclusive jurisdiction in Behring Sea beyond the three-mile limit, we undoubtedly acquired the right to exercise such jurisdiction. But although exclusive jurisdiction has been asserted very aggressively from Washington during the negotiations preliminary to the proposed arbitration, the arbitration, as shaped by the provisions of the treaty as it stands, will concern itself chiefly with that aspect of the case. Four of the five questions formulated for decision at Paris refer to the question of exclusive American jurisdiction in Behring Sea. The fifth merely asks whether the United States have any property right in the seals when the animals are found in Behring Sea outside of the three-mile limit.

Mr. W. E. LLOYD of the Smithsonian Institution, the man who of all men living knows most about the habits of the Alaskan fur seal, and whose devoted and disinterested study of the question for more than twenty years makes his utterances on the subject authoritative, if not final, has shown very clearly that something more than the effective policing of Behring Sea is required if the fur seal is to be preserved from extermination by Canadian greed. The seals from the Pribilof Islands make a great sweep annually down through the Aleutian Islands, eastward to the latitude of San Francisco and beyond, and back along the American, Canadian, and Alaskan coast line to their place of breeding.

The plain fact, so strangely overlooked by most of those who undertake to discuss the subject, is that even if the pelagic slaughter of the seals should be prevented in Behring Sea, either by the establishment of our exclusive jurisdiction there or by a joint arrangement with Great Britain, the Canadian industry of extermination would only be transferred to the open Pacific, south of the Aleutian archipelago. We could prevent it in the open sea, as against our own citizens. We could not prevent it there as against the Canadians, or any other foreigners, particularly after we had gone through the form and farce of an arbitration that did not touch the vital point of the question.

Instead of getting into a heat over the matter of the renewal of the *modus vivendi* and the fairness or unfairness, logical soundness or unsoundness, of Lord SALISBURY'S present attitude, it is high time that attention was turned to the merits of the treaty itself.

It looks very much as though we were preventing a force probably of 10,000 men from being sent to the Behring Sea, and that we were preventing a force of 10,000 men from being sent to the Behring Sea, and that we were preventing a force of 10,000 men from being sent to the Behring Sea.

The Great Coal Strike in England.

In one of the books in which Mr. WILLIAM MORRIS, poet and socialist, advocates a complete transformation of society, he represents the change from the present economic system, founded on the principle of individual ownership of the means of production, to a new system, having been effected in a single week. The amazing revolution was effected—such is his assumption—so far as England was concerned, by the simultaneous strike of all Englishmen engaged in skilled or unskilled labor. At one stroke the whole framework of the existing social system fell to pieces and the ground was cleared for a reconstruction of society on a new basis. England will witness an approach to the state of things which Mr. Morris has imagined. For the consumption of coal, the fuel of the English social and industrial structure, and by an organized strike of a large majority of the miners of Great Britain the supplies of coal have been suddenly cut off.

present week stand idle, who last week were engaged in furnishing most of the daily provision of the substance upon which the whole English people rely for heating purposes, and upon which English locomotives and stationary engines depend for the power needed to set their machinery in motion. During this week, at all events, the only coal brought to the surface in Great Britain will be mined in Northumberland, South Wales, and Scotland, which together comprise only an inconsiderable fraction of the British coal fields.

Of course coal has already risen materially in price and will go on rising until the strike is over. Inasmuch, however, as the intentions of the miners were known beforehand, the suffering resulting from the augmented cost of the sole heating agent employed in Great Britain will not fall upon the households of the rich and will do who profited by the warning to replenish their stock of fuel. So far as the domestic uses of coal are concerned, the misery caused by the strike will be confined almost exclusively to the poor who are accustomed to buy fuel from day to day. Manufacturers, on the other hand, however eager and peculiarly able to safeguard themselves against a deficiency of the steam-generating agent, have found it impracticable to do so. The result is that a large number of British manufacturing concerns have been closed, and the strike continues but a week; while, should it last three weeks, there will be such an arrest and dislocation of industry as has not been witnessed in England since the whole body of agricultural laborers struck in WAR TYLER'S rebellion.

The remarkable feature of this strike is that it is not a vindictive answer to a concerted reduction of wages on the part of the mine owners. It is a purely precautionary measure, deliberately entered upon by the workmen themselves from the belief that their employers would never or later have yielded to the low price of coal as a pretext for cutting down the earnings of the employed. In a conference of the Miners' Federation, held not long ago, a strike was advocated on the express ground that it would clear the markets of all the coal brought to the surface but as yet unsold. If that surplus which has been long accumulating could be once used up, so it was argued, the relation between demand and supply would assure a higher price for the commodity for some time to come. Acting upon this conviction, hundreds of thousands of miners have determined to sacrifice for at least a week the wages that they would otherwise have received, and in the mean time to support their families by means of their association funds and their private savings.

It will be decided at a conference of the Miners' Federation, which will take place on Wednesday in London, whether the strike will be continued beyond this week, and, if so, for how long. It is a memorable proof of the importance acquired in our time by economical compared with political events, that the debates and proceedings in this voluntary meeting of workmen will, so far as public attention and anxiety are concerned, entirely eclipse the doings of the Parliament at Westminster.

A Short Session at Albany.

The determination of the Democratic leaders at Albany to adjourn the Legislature on April 1 is a notable and judicious. It would be faithful adherence to the principle of economy in the lower branch, and on the joint ballot, broke all previous records since 1874 by adjourning on April 30, after a session of only sixty-two working days. It was the shortest session in seventy years. That Legislature, however, did not adjourn until it had secured for the Democracy the election of an undoubted Democrat to the United States Senate, and had given to the people of the State the lowest tax rate for thirty-six years.

This year, with both branches of the Legislature in the hands of the Democracy, it is wisely proposed and intended to conduct and execute next year's record of progress, businesslike, and faithful public service by adjourning on April 13. But the present Democratic Legislature should, on its adjournment, leave for the scrutiny of this year's voters a record of public efficiency to which the party may with confidence and propriety appeal.

These are the five subjects to which the Democratic State Convention in Saratoga last year pledged the party:

1. A bill to enumerate the inhabitants of the State preparatory to a reapportionment of Senate and Assembly districts.
2. A bill to reorganize the Congress districts in pursuance of the Federal statute and upon the basis of the recent Federal census.
3. A bill to provide for a Constitutional Convention in accordance with the wish of the people as declared by a large majority at the polls in 1886.
4. A bill to revise and consolidate the excise laws, so that they may be more easily understood, and regulating the sale of intoxicating liquors, prescribing just fees for licenses, and preserving all needed restrictions for the maintenance of order and the good of the State.
5. Several bills in the interest of agriculture and labor and to lessen the burden of direct taxation.

These things, with home rule for municipalities, low taxes, the turning out of the Republican hold-overs, and the substitution of efficient Democrats in their places, will justify the confidence of the voters expressed in November, 1891, and assure a verdict of continuance in November, 1892.

The Milliner and the Woman of Fashion.

Here is a question asked us by a German physician, who is surprised to find that the republic there are social bars and distinctions.

—Would any lady of the aristocracy 400 invite to one of her "week dinners" a lady milliner doing business in New York? I was under the impression that she would, and I backed my opinion by a wager, if the milliner would not come, she would not come. The answer was, "No, she would not come. She would not come. She would not come."

In this free country every woman has a right to ask her house, whomsoever she pleases, and to refuse to invite whomsoever she does not want. This privilege of choosing her own company she has inalienably, whether she be a milliner or a woman of fashion. If people do not like her society, they can decline her invitations; and if she does not care to include them in it, she is entirely at liberty to leave them out.

To exercise this privilege of selection and exclusion is not to "ape all the silly tonfooleries prevalent in Europe," but to follow the course of mankind generally. So far as it goes, there has been no change in the world since the earliest days. People have always chosen their associates according to their own tastes and predilections, and not under the compulsion of any law above their own will. Everybody is equal in the right to that liberty, and no one has any right to interfere with anybody in the enjoyment of it. Every individual can do as pleases in the matter. He may prefer the society of the religious and those of intrinsic moral or intellectual worth, or he may select his associates according to any

other standard, their wealth, their political accomplishments, or their prominence in fashion. The society that wants him can want him on any terms; and he has the same privilege of acceptance or rejection on his own part.

That constitutes a general answer to the question of our correspondent, but the same principle applies also to the specific case he mentions. As a matter of fact, the woman of the "so-called 400" would not be likely to invite the milliner to her grand dinners. The relations between them would be purely business and not social, and the milliner would not be invited to the dinner. The woman of fashion does not cast any reflection on the occupation of the milliner by not asking her to her feasts, any more than the milliner shows disrespect for her apprentices if she prefers the society of other women at her own dinners. Each of them has the liberty of inviting whom she chooses.

That is not aristocratic exclusiveness. It is democratic freedom. There is no "tabooing" about it. No trades are tabooed, not the milliner's and butcher's, nor any other. Milliners and butchers, and everybody else, have the privilege of selecting their associates. They are not obliged to ask anybody to sit at meat with them, whom they do not want in their houses. Such invitations are favors extended. They are not rights which can be claimed. Nobody has a right to force himself into a society where he is not wanted; and in the long run, people usually get into their proper places socially, wherein alone they have ease and peace.

They Wouldn't Let Him Cheat.

It is not the reassertion of preference for a non-Cleveland candidate that constitutes the chief interest of the Hon. HENRY WATTS'S latest political remarks. Mr. WATTS'S declaration, and the question which it has raised, are to be made up of all the kickers that can be drummed together, and their purpose is simply to destroy the Democratic party.

It is not the reassertion of preference for a non-Cleveland candidate that constitutes the chief interest of the Hon. HENRY WATTS'S latest political remarks. Mr. WATTS'S declaration, and the question which it has raised, are to be made up of all the kickers that can be drummed together, and their purpose is simply to destroy the Democratic party.

It is not the reassertion of preference for a non-Cleveland candidate that constitutes the chief interest of the Hon. HENRY WATTS'S latest political remarks. Mr. WATTS'S declaration, and the question which it has raised, are to be made up of all the kickers that can be drummed together, and their purpose is simply to destroy the Democratic party.

It is not the reassertion of preference for a non-Cleveland candidate that constitutes the chief interest of the Hon. HENRY WATTS'S latest political remarks. Mr. WATTS'S declaration, and the question which it has raised, are to be made up of all the kickers that can be drummed together, and their purpose is simply to destroy the Democratic party.

It is not the reassertion of preference for a non-Cleveland candidate that constitutes the chief interest of the Hon. HENRY WATTS'S latest political remarks. Mr. WATTS'S declaration, and the question which it has raised, are to be made up of all the kickers that can be drummed together, and their purpose is simply to destroy the Democratic party.

It is not the reassertion of preference for a non-Cleveland candidate that constitutes the chief interest of the Hon. HENRY WATTS'S latest political remarks. Mr. WATTS'S declaration, and the question which it has raised, are to be made up of all the kickers that can be drummed together, and their purpose is simply to destroy the Democratic party.

It is not the reassertion of preference for a non-Cleveland candidate that constitutes the chief interest of the Hon. HENRY WATTS'S latest political remarks. Mr. WATTS'S declaration, and the question which it has raised, are to be made up of all the kickers that can be drummed together, and their purpose is simply to destroy the Democratic party.

It is not the reassertion of preference for a non-Cleveland candidate that constitutes the chief interest of the Hon. HENRY WATTS'S latest political remarks. Mr. WATTS'S declaration, and the question which it has raised, are to be made up of all the kickers that can be drummed together, and their purpose is simply to destroy the Democratic party.

It is not the reassertion of preference for a non-Cleveland candidate that constitutes the chief interest of the Hon. HENRY WATTS'S latest political remarks. Mr. WATTS'S declaration, and the question which it has raised, are to be made up of all the kickers that can be drummed together, and their purpose is simply to destroy the Democratic party.

It is not the reassertion of preference for a non-Cleveland candidate that constitutes the chief interest of the Hon. HENRY WATTS'S latest political remarks. Mr. WATTS'S declaration, and the question which it has raised, are to be made up of all the kickers that can be drummed together, and their purpose is simply to destroy the Democratic party.

It is not the reassertion of preference for a non-Cleveland candidate that constitutes the chief interest of the Hon. HENRY WATTS'S latest political remarks. Mr. WATTS'S declaration, and the question which it has raised, are to be made up of all the kickers that can be drummed together, and their purpose is simply to destroy the Democratic party.

It is not the reassertion of preference for a non-Cleveland candidate that constitutes the chief interest of the Hon. HENRY WATTS'S latest political remarks. Mr. WATTS'S declaration, and the question which it has raised, are to be made up of all the kickers that can be drummed together, and their purpose is simply to destroy the Democratic party.

THE HUGWUMP CONVENTION.

Eight Upon its Organization and Details Unhappily Furnished.

The subjoined letter has come into our possession, and it is a pity that such an incident should have been probably never entertained by its author:

New York, March 7, 1892.

Dear Sir: I have received your letter of March 6. Much obliged to you for your telegram Saturday. I wrote to Hudson asking him to call upon you. I have not sent on any enrollment blanks to either Senator or Mr. Sherman. We have not sent out blanks anywhere where we have not started a Provisional organization. We want to get our countrymen in each county, and hold him responsible for the result. I hope there will be a large number of the blanks to the men in the different townships whom he names, and who will have charge and be responsible to him and to us. We have not sent out any enrollment blanks yet. We are getting our Provisional organizations now pretty well under way. The western part of the State is advancing rapidly. Oneida and Madison counties are going all right. The Albany district is going all right. The Dutchess district is going all right. I have written today to Hudson in Dutchess county. Have you any suggestions to make for Dutchess county? We have not started in these three counties. We have absolutely not started at all. This afternoon, I think, Woodward will go up to call on you and at the same time have a talk with the editor. I hope there will be a large number of the enrollment proceedings against T. Sincerely,

ROBERT GRIFFIN MORRIS.

Col. Robert Griffin Morris is a member of the staff of Gov. Flower.

The Judge who speaks of the Hon. D. Cady Heron as a "Pro-Slavery Democrat" is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club.

The gentleman whom the conspirators talk of impeaching is John Boyd Thatcher of Albany. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club.

Col. Robert Griffin Morris is a member of the staff of Gov. Flower.

The Judge who speaks of the Hon. D. Cady Heron as a "Pro-Slavery Democrat" is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club.

The gentleman whom the conspirators talk of impeaching is John Boyd Thatcher of Albany. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club.

Col. Robert Griffin Morris is a member of the staff of Gov. Flower.

The Judge who speaks of the Hon. D. Cady Heron as a "Pro-Slavery Democrat" is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club.

The gentleman whom the conspirators talk of impeaching is John Boyd Thatcher of Albany. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club.

Col. Robert Griffin Morris is a member of the staff of Gov. Flower.

The Judge who speaks of the Hon. D. Cady Heron as a "Pro-Slavery Democrat" is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club.

The gentleman whom the conspirators talk of impeaching is John Boyd Thatcher of Albany. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club.

Col. Robert Griffin Morris is a member of the staff of Gov. Flower.

The Judge who speaks of the Hon. D. Cady Heron as a "Pro-Slavery Democrat" is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club.

The gentleman whom the conspirators talk of impeaching is John Boyd Thatcher of Albany. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club.

Col. Robert Griffin Morris is a member of the staff of Gov. Flower.

The Judge who speaks of the Hon. D. Cady Heron as a "Pro-Slavery Democrat" is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club.

The gentleman whom the conspirators talk of impeaching is John Boyd Thatcher of Albany. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club.

Col. Robert Griffin Morris is a member of the staff of Gov. Flower.

The Judge who speaks of the Hon. D. Cady Heron as a "Pro-Slavery Democrat" is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club.

The gentleman whom the conspirators talk of impeaching is John Boyd Thatcher of Albany. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club.

Col. Robert Griffin Morris is a member of the staff of Gov. Flower.

The Judge who speaks of the Hon. D. Cady Heron as a "Pro-Slavery Democrat" is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club.

The gentleman whom the conspirators talk of impeaching is John Boyd Thatcher of Albany. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club.

Col. Robert Griffin Morris is a member of the staff of Gov. Flower.

The Judge who speaks of the Hon. D. Cady Heron as a "Pro-Slavery Democrat" is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club.

The gentleman whom the conspirators talk of impeaching is John Boyd Thatcher of Albany. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club.

Col. Robert Griffin Morris is a member of the staff of Gov. Flower.

The Judge who speaks of the Hon. D. Cady Heron as a "Pro-Slavery Democrat" is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club.

The gentleman whom the conspirators talk of impeaching is John Boyd Thatcher of Albany. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club.

Col. Robert Griffin Morris is a member of the staff of Gov. Flower.

The Judge who speaks of the Hon. D. Cady Heron as a "Pro-Slavery Democrat" is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club.

The gentleman whom the conspirators talk of impeaching is John Boyd Thatcher of Albany. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club.

THE HUGWUMP CONVENTION.

Eight Upon its Organization and Details Unhappily Furnished.

The subjoined letter has come into our possession, and it is a pity that such an incident should have been probably never entertained by its author:

New York, March 7, 1892.

Dear Sir: I have received your letter of March 6. Much obliged to you for your telegram Saturday. I wrote to Hudson asking him to call upon you. I have not sent on any enrollment blanks to either Senator or Mr. Sherman. We have not sent out blanks anywhere where we have not started a Provisional organization. We want to get our countrymen in each county, and hold him responsible for the result. I hope there will be a large number of the blanks to the men in the different townships whom he names, and who will have charge and be responsible to him and to us. We have not sent out any enrollment blanks yet. We are getting our Provisional organizations now pretty well under way. The western part of the State is advancing rapidly. Oneida and Madison counties are going all right. The Albany district is going all right. The Dutchess district is going all right. I have written today to Hudson in Dutchess county. Have you any suggestions to make for Dutchess county? We have not started in these three counties. We have absolutely not started at all. This afternoon, I think, Woodward will go up to call on you and at the same time have a talk with the editor. I hope there will be a large number of the enrollment proceedings against T. Sincerely,

ROBERT GRIFFIN MORRIS.

Col. Robert Griffin Morris is a member of the staff of Gov. Flower.

The Judge who speaks of the Hon. D. Cady Heron as a "Pro-Slavery Democrat" is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club.

The gentleman whom the conspirators talk of impeaching is John Boyd Thatcher of Albany. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club.

Col. Robert Griffin Morris is a member of the staff of Gov. Flower.

The Judge who speaks of the Hon. D. Cady Heron as a "Pro-Slavery Democrat" is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club.

The gentleman whom the conspirators talk of impeaching is John Boyd Thatcher of Albany. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club.

Col. Robert Griffin Morris is a member of the staff of Gov. Flower.

The Judge who speaks of the Hon. D. Cady Heron as a "Pro-Slavery Democrat" is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club.

The gentleman whom the conspirators talk of impeaching is John Boyd Thatcher of Albany. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club.

Col. Robert Griffin Morris is a member of the staff of Gov. Flower.

The Judge who speaks of the Hon. D. Cady Heron as a "Pro-Slavery Democrat" is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club.

The gentleman whom the conspirators talk of impeaching is John Boyd Thatcher of Albany. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club.

Col. Robert Griffin Morris is a member of the staff of Gov. Flower.

The Judge who speaks of the Hon. D. Cady Heron as a "Pro-Slavery Democrat" is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club.

The gentleman whom the conspirators talk of impeaching is John Boyd Thatcher of Albany. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club.

Col. Robert Griffin Morris is a member of the staff of Gov. Flower.

The Judge who speaks of the Hon. D. Cady Heron as a "Pro-Slavery Democrat" is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club.

The gentleman whom the conspirators talk of impeaching is John Boyd Thatcher of Albany. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club.

Col. Robert Griffin Morris is a member of the staff of Gov. Flower.

The Judge who speaks of the Hon. D. Cady Heron as a "Pro-Slavery Democrat" is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club.

The gentleman whom the conspirators talk of impeaching is John Boyd Thatcher of Albany. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club.

Col. Robert Griffin Morris is a member of the staff of Gov. Flower.

The Judge who speaks of the Hon. D. Cady Heron as a "Pro-Slavery Democrat" is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club.

The gentleman whom the conspirators talk of impeaching is John Boyd Thatcher of Albany. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club.

Col. Robert Griffin Morris is a member of the staff of Gov. Flower.

The Judge who speaks of the Hon. D. Cady Heron as a "Pro-Slavery Democrat" is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club.

The gentleman whom the conspirators talk of impeaching is John Boyd Thatcher of Albany. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club.

Col. Robert Griffin Morris is a member of the staff of Gov. Flower.

The Judge who speaks of the Hon. D. Cady Heron as a "Pro-Slavery Democrat" is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club.

The gentleman whom the conspirators talk of impeaching is John Boyd Thatcher of Albany. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club.

Col. Robert Griffin Morris is a member of the staff of Gov. Flower.

The Judge who speaks of the Hon. D. Cady Heron as a "Pro-Slavery Democrat" is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club.

The gentleman whom the conspirators talk of impeaching is John Boyd Thatcher of Albany. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club.

THE HUGWUMP CONVENTION.

Eight Upon its Organization and Details Unhappily Furnished.

The subjoined letter has come into our possession, and it is a pity that such an incident should have been probably never entertained by its author:

New York, March 7, 1892.

Dear Sir: I have received your letter of March 6. Much obliged to you for your telegram Saturday. I wrote to Hudson asking him to call upon you. I have not sent on any enrollment blanks to either Senator or Mr. Sherman. We have not sent out blanks anywhere where we have not started a Provisional organization. We want to get our countrymen in each county, and hold him responsible for the result. I hope there will be a large number of the blanks to the men in the different townships whom he names, and who will have charge and be responsible to him and to us. We have not sent out any enrollment blanks yet. We are getting our Provisional organizations now pretty well under way. The western part of the State is advancing rapidly. Oneida and Madison counties are going all right. The Albany district is going all right. The Dutchess district is going all right. I have written today to Hudson in Dutchess county. Have you any suggestions to make for Dutchess county? We have not started in these three counties. We have absolutely not started at all. This afternoon, I think, Woodward will go up to call on you and at the same time have a talk with the editor. I hope there will be a large number of the enrollment proceedings against T. Sincerely,

ROBERT GRIFFIN MORRIS.

Col. Robert Griffin Morris is a member of the staff of Gov. Flower.

The Judge who speaks of the Hon. D. Cady Heron as a "Pro-Slavery Democrat" is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election of the Albany Democratic Club.

The gentleman whom the conspirators talk of impeaching is John Boyd Thatcher of Albany. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is a member of the Albany Democratic Club.

Col. Robert Griffin Morris is a member of the staff of Gov. Flower.

The Judge who speaks of the Hon. D. Cady Heron as a "Pro-Slavery Democrat" is a member of the Albany Democratic Club. He is chosen at the election